UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/537,363	06/02/2005	05 Wiebe De Boer US02 05:		3423
65913 NXP , B.V.	7590 01/27/201	EXAMINER		
NXP INTELLE	ECTUAL PROPERTY	LUND, JEFFRIE ROBERT		
M/S41-SJ 1109 MCKAY	DRIVE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SAN JOSE, CA	A 95131	1792		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/27/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ip.department.us@nxp.com

		Application	No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/537,363		DE BOER, WIEBE		
		Examiner		Art Unit		
		Jeffrie R. Lur	nd	1792		
The MAILING DATE of to Period for Reply	his communication ap	ppears on the c	over sheet with the o	orrespondence ad	dress	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FF - Extensions of time may be available und after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing of - If NO period for reply is specified above, - Failure to reply within the set or extende - Any reply received by the Office later that earned patent term adjustment. See 37	ROM THE MAILING I er the provisions of 37 CFR 1 late of this communication. the maximum statutory period d period for reply will, by statu n three months after the maili	DATE OF THIS I.136(a). In no event, d will apply and will ex te, cause the applica	COMMUNICATION however, may a reply be tin kpire SIX (6) MONTHS from tion to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•	
Status						
Responsive to communi This action is FINAL . Since this application is closed in accordance with	2b)∐ Th in condition for allow	is action is non ance except fo	-final. r formal matters, pro		e merits is	
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pen 4a) Of the above claim(s 5) Claim(s) is/are all 6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are reje 7) Claim(s) is/are ob 8) Claim(s) are subj) is/are withdra owed. cted. jected to.	awn from cons				
9) The specification is object	ted to by the Evamin	ner				
10) The drawing(s) filed on _ Applicant may not request Replacement drawing shee 11) The oath or declaration is	is/are: a) ac that any objection to the t(s) including the corre	ccepted or b) e drawing(s) be lection is required	neld in abeyance. See if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CF	, ,	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-89 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drav 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	ving Review (PTO-948)	4) 5) 6)	=	ate		

Application/Control Number: 10/537,363 Page 2

Art Unit: 1792

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The newly added limitation "without determining the temperature of the wafer from the electrical signal" (claim 1 and 12) and "the pyrometer is not part of the optical signal measurer" (claim 19) are not taught in the specification or drawings.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-5 and 7-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by Johnsgard et al, 6,200,634 B1.

Johnsgard et al teaches a wafer manufacturing apparatus and method of controlling the temperature of the wafer manufacturing apparatus comprising: a

Art Unit: 1792

susceptor 524 including a support for a wafer 502, the wafer including a topside and a bottom side; a first sapphire optical fiber 826 connected to the susceptor so that radiation from near the edge of the bottom side of the wafer can 534 be monitored; a second sapphire optical fiber 850, 860 connected to the susceptor so that radiation from near the center of the bottom side of the wafer can 534 be monitored; an optical signal measurer 534 coupled to optical fibers and filters the light from the bottom of the wafer and converts it to an electrical signal and supplies the signal to a controller 536. The controller maintains a temperature of the wafer to keep the electrical signal constant during a deposition cycle. The optical fiber is integrated into the susceptor. The susceptor includes a rotating part 522 and a stationary part 528. The measurer inherently includes a filter that filters the light source allowing the desired light to pass. (Figures 5, 6A, 8 and 9)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Johnsgard et al, US Patent 6,200,634 B1.

Johnsgard et al was discussed above.

Johnsgard et al differs from the present invention in that Johnsgard et al does not teach that the optical fiber is quartz.

Quartz is a well known material of construction for optical fiber. Furthermore, it has been held that: the selection of a known material based on its suitability for its intended use is prima facie obviousness (*Sinclair & Carroll Co. v. Interchemical Corp.*, 325 U.S. 327, 65 USPQ 297 (1945)); and reading a list and selecting a known compound to meet known requirements is no more ingenious that selecting the last piece to put in the last opening in a jig-saw puzzle (325 U.S. at 335, 65 USPQ at 301).

The motivation for making the optical fiber of Johnsgard et al out of quartz is to provide an alternate material of construction.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the optical fiber of Johnsgard et al out of quartz.

- 7. If it is held that the optical pyrometer 534 of Johnsgard et al does not contain a filter, the following rejection is made.
- 8. Claims 3, 6, and 12-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Johnsgard et al, US Patent 6,200,634 B1, in view of Schietinger, US Patent Application 2003/0036877 A1.

Johnsgard et al was discussed above.

Johnsgard et al differs from the present invention in that Johnsgard et al does not teach a filter for filtering the optical signal or that the optical fiber is quartz.

Schietinger teaches an optical pyrometer 10 that includes a filter 18 for selectively filtering the emitted radiation to supply the photo detector with the desired wavelength of light (paragraph 0042), and a quartz optical fiber (paragraph 0058).

The motivation for adding a filter of Schietinger to the optical pyrometer is to

supply the proper wavelength of light to the optical pyrometer of Johnsgard et al.

The motivation for making the optical fiber of Johnsgard et al out of quartz is to provide an alternate material of construction. Furthermore, it has been held that: the selection of a known material based on its suitability for its intended use is prima facie obviousness (*Sinclair & Carroll Co. v. Interchemical Corp.*, 325 U.S. 327, 65 USPQ 297 (1945)); and reading a list and selecting a known compound to meet known requirements is no more ingenious that selecting the last piece to put in the last opening in a jig-saw puzzle (325 U.S. at 335, 65 USPQ at 301).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add a filter to the optical pyrometer and make the optical fiber of Johnsgard et al out of quartz as taught by Schietinger.

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments filed September 30, 2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The Applicant has argued that the newly added limitations are not new matter and that they define the invention over the prior art. This is not persuasive because one of ordinary skill in the art knows that a pyrometer is a transducer that converts a detected light strength to an electrical signal. Thus one of ordinary skill in the art would expect that the transducer is a pyrometer. Furthermore, one of ordinary skill in the art recognizes that the electrical signal generated by the pyrometer is supplied to a controller which compares the electrical signal to a predetermined electrical signal and tries to maintain that signal constant. This signal may is calibrated to the desired

Art Unit: 1792

temperature. Thus it is not possible to maintain the electrical signal without measuring the temperature. Thus, the new limitations are new matter and Johnsgard et al teaches the claimed subject matter.

Conclusion

10. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrie R. Lund whose telephone number is (571) 272-1437. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday (10:00 am - 9:00 pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Parviz Hassanzadeh can be reached on (571) 272-1435. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/537,363 Page 7

Art Unit: 1792

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Jeffrie R. Lund/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 1792

JRL 4/26/09